



Cs₂CO₃ Promoted *O*-Alkylation of Alcohols for the Preparation of Mixed Alkyl Carbonates

Feixia Chu, Eric E. Dueno, and Kyung Woon Jung*

Department of Chemistry, University of South Florida, 4202 E. Fowler Avenue, Tampa, Florida 33620-5250, U.S.A. and Drug Discovery Program, H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center & Research Institute, Tampa, Florida 33612-9497, U.S.A.

Received 18 November 1998; revised 5 January 1999; accepted 7 January 1999

Abstract

The presence of cesium carbonate and tetrabutylammonium iodide (TBAI) facilitated efficient O-alkylation of alcohols with alkyl halides, giving rise to the exclusive formation of mixed alkyl carbonates. The cesium effect was also examined comparatively with other alkali carbonates. © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Cesium carbonate; TBAI; O-alkylation of alcohols; mixed alkyl carbonates

Organic carbonates exhibit unique physical and chemical properties, accommodating a variety of applications.¹ In numerous organic syntheses, carbonates have been useful intermediates for functional group manipulations,² while also being utilized in everyday applications (e.g., dyes, fuel additives, and lubricating oils).³ Regarding polymerization, organic carbonates have been used in industry⁴ as well as in biological and medicinal fields.⁵ Recent studies have demonstrated promise in medical applications of aliphatic carbonates,⁶ necessitating efficient carbonate synthesis.

Common methods leading to carbonates are categorized into alcoholysis of phosgene or its derivatives,⁷ organic carbonate exchange,⁸ carbon dioxide alkylation,⁹ and inorganic carbonate alkylation.¹⁰ Nonetheless, these methods lack in generality for the synthesis of mixed alkyl carbonates, prompting us to embark on carbonate synthesis by cesium promoted O-alkylation. In the presence of TBAI, 4-phenyl-1-butanol 1 was ligated to 1-bromobutane through a CO₂ bridge derived from Cs₂CO₃, resulting in the exclusive formation of carbonate 2 in excellent yield (Scheme A). Advantages of this methodology and its mechanistic insights are discussed in this report.

Scheme A

Under the explored standard conditions, 11 both primary and secondary alcohols reacted readily with primary halides, where various alkyl halides such as t-butyl bromoacetate were suitable to prepare exclusively unsymmetrical alkyl carbonates (entry 1, Scheme B). When active halides were employed, electrophiles appeared to decompose in the presence of TBAI, complicating the reaction results, whereas O-alkylations of primary alcohol 1 took place smoothly in the absence of TBAI to provide benzyl carbonates (entry 2 and 3). With secondary alcohols, similar trends were observed, delivering various mixed alkyl carbonates in reliable yields (entry 4-7). Relatively reactive alcohols encompassing benzyl alcohol were also converted to their corresponding carbonates by coupling with unreactive secondary bromides such as 2-bromobutane (i.e., entry 8).

Scheme B

Cs₂CO₃, TBAI

	R-On + R-X	DMF, Conditions				
Entry	Alcohol (ROH)	Halide (R'X)	Temperature	Time	Yield	
1	1	BrCH ₂ CO ₂ ^t Bu	100 ℃	18 h	71%	
2	1	BnCl*	95 ℃	36 h	97%	
3	1	MPMC1*	60 °C	20 h	59%	
4	1-Phenyl-2-propanol (3)	BrCH ₂ CO ₂ ^t Bu	100 °C	18 h	72%	
5	Menthol (4)	n-BuBr	100 ℃	32 h	68%	
6	Menthol (4)	BrCH ₂ CO ₂ ^t Bu	100 ℃	25 h	55%	
7	Ethyl lactate (5)	BnBr*	70 ℃	5 h	44%	

^{*} When active halides were used, the reactions were run without TBAI to give satisfactory results.

2-Bromobutane

Benzyl alcohol (6)

85 ℃

20 h

76%

Despite limited success, most secondary bromides failed to promote the carbonate synthesis, favoring exclusively the competing process, formylation. As delineated in Scheme C, O-alkylations of primary alcohol 1 and cholesterol 8 yielded only the corresponding formates 7 and 9, respectively. Because of clean conversion and high yield, these techniques would constitute an alternative to the existing methods in the preparation of formates.¹²

Scheme C

Subsequently, the roles of cesium carbonate were investigated by comparing alkali carbonates (Scheme D).¹³ Since TBAI influenced the results,¹⁴ all the reactions were carried out without TBAI for the same duration, then the products and the starting alcohol 1 were isolated. It is noteworthy that the use of Cs₂CO₃ culminated in the predominat formation of carbonate 2 while other alkali carbonates led to a complicated mixture of formate 7, ether 10, and bromide 11 in greater abundance than carbonate 2. Apparently, cesium carbonate addresses a pronounced effect on alkyl carbonate synthesis.

Scheme D

M ₂ CO ₃	1 (recovered)	Carbonate (2)	Formate (7)	Ether (10)	Bromide (11)
Li ₂ CO ₃	17%	Not observed	18%	31%	29%
Na ₂ CO ₃	17%	Not observed	26%	32%	15%
K ₂ CO ₃	15%	3%	21%	44%	11%
Rb ₂ CO ₃	11%	18%	34%	20%	12%
Cs ₂ CO ₃	18%	73%	3%	3%	Not observed

In Scheme E, plausible reaction pathways are proposed for the various products formed. The incipient alkoxide 12 would undergo etherification to deliver 10 or be incorporated into the Vilsmeier-Haack salt 14,¹² forming bromide 11 or formate 7.¹⁵ On the other hand, Cs₂CO₃ liberates CO₂ and/or HCO₃ which, in turn, react with the formed alkoxide 12, and the resultant carbonic acid 15 would be *O*-alkylated to synthesize mixed carbonate 2.^{10b} Cesium bases and alkoxides are distinguished from those paired with other alkali metals in terms of "nakedness", weak conjugation or solvation, ¹³ thus demonstrating the extraordinary effect on the carbonate formation under our conditions.

Scheme E

In summary, we have developed an efficient protocol for the synthesis of unsymmetric alkyl carbonates utilizing cesium carbonate as both a base and a carbon dioxide source. In this reported O-alkylation, primary and secondary alcohols were reacted with various primary alkyl bromides. This methodology is strongly believed to offer a general synthetic method of mixed carbonates for a variety of applications.

Acknowledgments: Financial support from the USF Research Council is gratefully acknowledged, as is support from the H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center & Research Institute.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1. For comprehensive reviews on organic carbonates, see: (a) Hegarty, A. F. In *Comprehensive Organic Chemistry*; Sutherland, I. O. Ed.; Pergamon: London, 1979; Vol. 2, p 1067. (b) Shaikh, A.-A. G.; Sivaram, S. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 951.
- For selective examples, see: (a) Satyanarayana, G.; Sivaram, S. Synth. Commun. 1990, 20, 3273. (b) Shute, R. E.; Rich, D. H. Synthesis 1987, 346. (c) Ghosh, A. K.; McKee, S. P.; Duong, T. T.; Thompson, W. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1992, 1308. (d) Iimori, T.; Shibazaki, T.; Ikegami, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 2267. (e) Bruneau, C.; Darcel, C.; Dixneuf, P. H. Curr. Org. Chem. 1997, I, 197. (f) Roush, W. R.; Blizzard, T. A. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 4332. (g) Greenberg, M. M.; Matray, T. J.; Kahl, J. D.; Yoo, D. J.; McMinn, D. L. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 4062.
- (a) Taylor, L. D.; Waller, D. P. U.S. Pat. 5,243,052, 1993. (b) Kolliker, H.-P.; Staub, A.; Hindermann, P. U.S. Pat. 3,689,510, 1972. (c) Ishida, N.; Sakamoto, T.; Hasegawa, H. U.S. Pat. 5,370,809, 1994. (d) Ishida, N.; Hasegawa, H.; Sasaki, U.; Ishikawa, T. U.S. Pat. 5,391,311, 1995. (e) Pacheco, M. A.; Marshall, C. L. Energy & Fuels 1997, 11, 2.
- (a) Yamaguchi, I.; Tanaka, H.; Osakada, K.; Yamamoto, T. Macromolecules 1998, 31, 30.
 (b) Höcker, H.; Keul, H. Makromol. Chem., Macromol. Symp. 1992, 54/55, 9.
 (c) Jackson, Jr., W. J.; Darnell, W. R. U.S. Pat. 4,350,805, 1982.
- (a) Buzzolini, M. G. U.S. Pat. 3,931,275, 1976. (b) Hodge, E. B. U.S. Pat. 4,751,239, 1988. (c) Ghiron, C.; Rossi, T.; Thomas, R. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 3569. (d) Kole, H. K.; Akamatsu, M.; Ye, B.; Yan, X.; Barford, D.; Roller, P. P.; Burke, Jr., T. R. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1995, 209, 817. (e) Avramova, P.; Dryanovska, L.; Ilarionov, Y. Pharmazie 1983, 38, 443. (f) Avramova, P.; Dryanovska, L.; Ilarionov, Y. Pharmazie 1977, 32, 575.
- 6. (a) Kawaguchi, T.; Nakano, M.; Juni, K.; Inoue, S.; Yoshida, Y. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1983, 31, 1400. (b) Acemoglu, M.; Bantle, S.; Mindt, T.; Nimmerfall, F. Macromolecules 1995, 28, 3030. (c) Zhu, K. J.; Hendren, R. W.; Jensen, K.; Pitt, C. G. Macromolecules 1991, 24, 1736.
- (a) Eckert, H.; Forster, B. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1987, 26, 894.
 (b) Burk, R. M.; Roof, M. B. Tetrahedron Lett.
 1993, 34, 395.
 (c) Keohan, F. L.; Freelin, R. G.; Riffle, J. S.; Yilgör, I.; McGrath, J. E. J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Chem. Ed. 1984, 22, 679.
- 8. (a) Grynkiewicz, G.; Jurczak, J.; Zamojski, A. Tetrahedron 1975, 31, 1411. (b) Shaikh, A.-A. G.; Sivaram, S. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 1992, 31, 1167. (c) Bertolini, G.; Pavich, G.; Vergani, B. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 6031.
- (a) McGhee, W.; Riley, D. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 6205.
 (b) Kuran, W.; Pasynkiewicz, S.; Skupinska, J. Makromol. Chem. 1977, 178, 47.
 (c) Tsuda, T.; Chujo, Y.; Saegusa, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 431.
 (d) Bongini, A.; Cardillo, G.; Orena, M.; Porzi, G.; Sandri, S. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 4626.
- (a) Cella, J. A.; Bacon, S. W. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 1122. (b) Bosworth, N.; Magnus, P.; Moore, R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin I 1973, 2694. (c) Lissel, M.; Dehmlow, E. V. Chem. Ber. 1981, 1/4, 1210.
- 11. Elimination products were not observed under these reaction conditions.
- 12. (a) Barluenga, J.; Campos, P. J.; Gonzalez-Nuñez, E.; Asensio, G. Synthesis 1985, 426. (b) Caputo, R.; Ferreri, C.; Palumbo, G. Synth. Commun. 1987, 17, 1629.
- 13. Galli, C. Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 1992, 24, 287, and references cited therein.
- 14. The carbonate formation was enhanced by the use of TBAI as demonstrated in the scheme below (cf. Scheme D).

15. The intermediate 13, derived from the alkyl halide, was believed to be responsible for the formate formation because the reaction did not occur without alkyl halides. Moreover, non-volatile halides such as 3-phenyl-1-bromopropane and benzyl bromide produced the corresponding formates from these halides under the similar conditions in moderate yields.